

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE G. ROHINI

W.P.No. 23994 of 04

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for the respondents.

The writ petition is filed seeking a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment as fair-price shop dealer in one of the vacancies in respect of shop Nos.606,744 and 645 on the basis of her earlier selection as a dealer on 11-12-1986.

This Court while ordering notice to the respondents by order dated 22-12-2004 directed the respondents that in case the petitioner applies, the respondents shall not insist on compliance of qualification of age. However, it was made clear that the other conditions shall apply to her and that the selections that may take place shall be subject to further orders in the writ petition.

When the matter is taken up for consideration the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the interim directions granted the candidature of the petitioner was considered by the respondents, but, she was not selected on different grounds other than the objections as to the age. If that be so, if the petitioner is aggrieved it is always open to her to challenge the same by working out the appropriate remedy as available under the law. Hence, no further orders are necessary in this writ petition.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

21st November, 2005

BJ/